AGENDA
Educational Policies Committee
Via Zoom
November 2, 2020
3:00 p.m.

1. Approval of Minutes – October 19, 2020
2. Approval of Agenda
3. New Business
   a. Creation of a double-major issue subcommittee
   b. Review of BoT initiatives
   c. first review of Psychological Sciences PhD proposal

Present: B. Canfield (chair), J. Cantrill, G. Logan, C. Johnson, K. Johnson, D. Kapla, J. Leonard, J. Thompson, L. Warren, R. Winn

1. **Approval of Minutes – October 19, 2020**
   R. Winn moved to approve. C. Johnson seconded. All in favor.

2. **Approval of Agenda**
   K. Johnson made a motion to add a discussion of COVID and the Pivot to Online Instruction to the agenda. G. Logan seconded. Vote to approve the amendment to the agenda. Five in favor (B. Canfield, G. Logan, K. Johnson, J. Leonard, J. Thompson). Five opposed (J. Cantrill, C. Johnson, D. Kapla, L. Warren, R. Winn). Amendment to agenda not approved.

   L. Warren moved to approve the agenda as originally presented. J. Cantrill seconded. Six in favor (J. Cantrill, C. Johnson, D. Kapla, J. Leonard, L. Warren, R. Winn). One opposed (G. Logan). Two abstentions (J. Thompson, K. Johnson). Original agenda approved.

3. **New Business**
   a. **Creation of a double-major issue subcommittee**
      i. EPC Subcommittee Members: R. Winn, G. Logan, J. Leonard, B. Canfield
      ii. Suggested non-EPC subcommittee representatives:
         1. Registrar's Office
         2. Finance & Administration
         3. Academic & Career Advisement Center
         4. College of Technology & Occupational Sciences
      iii. The university is replacing Starfish with a new program. The subcommittee can look further into this topic and how it relates to counting double-majors

   b. **Review of BOT initiatives**
      i. BOT meeting minutes are not yet available. Further discussion of this topic will take place once the minutes are available

   c. **First review of Psychological Sciences PhD proposal**
      i. The committee discussed the Psychological Science Ph.D. proposal. The proposal will be discussed again at a meeting during the Winter 2021 semester
      ii. Review of Ph.D. Programs in General
         1. What does NMU want from their Ph.D. programs? Niche/unique programs, prestige?
         2. How should the committee evaluate Ph.D. programs? By prestige or cost?

d. **Potential Agenda Items for Upcoming Meetings**
   i. Explanation of Gray Associate's Data
   ii. Nancy Maas, Professor in the School of Nursing (SON), will provide insight from the SON's experience creating the DNP program. Feedback, recommendations, things to do differently. The committee would like to compare projected financials of the DNP to current financials

e. **November 16th Meeting – Questions for Provost Kerri Schuiling**
   i. BOT initiatives
   ii. What kind of Ph.D. programs does NMU want?

Meeting was adjourned at 5 p.m.