**NMU Peter White Scholar Award proposal evaluation form**

Members of the review committee will complete the following information for each internal NMU proposal submission:

**Title of project:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Principal Investigator: | Signature on cover sheet:Y 🞎 N 🞎 |
| Discipline/Department: | Department head signature:Y 🞎 N 🞎 |
| College: | Dean signature:Y 🞎 N 🞎 |

**Eligibility:** All full-time faculty who will be teaching during the next academic year (those who have an employment contract such that they would normally teach the year after the application year) are eligible to apply for the award. Faculty who will be on sabbaticals or other leaves, part-time or adjunct faculty, administrative or support staff and students are not eligible. Faculty and staff who believe that a colleague is especially qualified should submit a nomination to the Dean of Graduate Education and Research at least one month prior to the application deadline. The Dean will contact the nominated individual to notify them of the nomination.

A faculty member who has received a Peter White Scholar Award is eligible to reapply for the same award after a five year interim. Questions regarding eligibility should be directed to Dr. Lisa Schade-Eckert; Dean of Graduate Education and Research.

**Applicant eligible:** Y 🞎 N 🞎

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Topic/Detail** | **Points received** | **Points possible** | **Comments** |
| 1. **Required proposal components—**Are all components present?
 |  | **(15 total)** |  |
| * Signed cover page
 |  | 2 |  |
| * Project abstract
 |  | 2 |  |
| * Project narrative (all components addressed)
 |  | 3 |  |
| * References Cited
 |  | 2 |  |
| * Budget and justification
 |  | 2 |  |
| * CVs (2 pages)
 |  | 2 |  |
| * Letters of Support (if applicable)
 |  | 1 |  |
| * IRB/IACUC (if applicable)
 |  | 1 |  |
| 1. **Statement of purpose**
 |  | **(20 points)** |  |
| Is/are the research question(s) or creative project clearly defined with appropriate rationale? |  | 8 |  |
| Are the goals clearly stated and objectives measureable and achievable? |  | 6 |  |
| Is the scope of the question(s) or project manageable within the timeframe and context of the study? |  | 6 |  |
| 1. **Impact/Significance of project**
 |  | **(10 total)** |  |
| Does the project suggest a novel approach to addressing a problem/need? |  | 5 |  |
| Does this project appear to make a contribution to knowledge in the discipline? (Potential for increased access to arts/humanities/education, scientific understanding or discovery, or potential to benefit society) |  | 5 |  |
| 1. **Background to the project**
 |  | **(10 points)** |  |
| Is the literature review included that offers historical context and/or appropriate overview of the issue to be explored? |  | 5 |  |
| Does the section provide convincing support for the purpose of the proposed project? |  | 5 |  |
| 1. **Methodology**
 |  | **(15 total)** |  |
| Are the project design/argument of the study, methods of data collection, and analysis feasible (given funding and timeline) and appropriate to the aims of the project? |  | 8 |  |
| Has the appropriate literature been examined to contribute to the understanding of the problem and/or conceptual framework for the study? |  | 4 |  |
| Are the proposed methods delineated and the rationale for the methodology clearly stated and supported? |  | 3 |  |
| 1. **Description of final product**
 |  | **(15 total)** |  |
| Does the proposal include adequate description of anticipated tangible deliverable(s) (Publication, artwork, dataset, etc.)?—Requirements below for PWSA. |  | 8 |  |
| Is the proposed project described in such a way as to suggest deliverable(s) are achievable in the stated timeline? |  | 7 |  |
| 1. **Personnel**
 |  | **(5 total)** |  |
| Qualifications - Does the track record (background/previous studies) of the Investigator(s) indicate they have the expertise to conduct this study? (As demonstrated in the curriculum vitae and the proposal narrative.) |  | 5 |  |
| 1. **Budget**
 |  | **(10 total)** |  |
| Is the budget detailed, reasonable and justified in relation to the project's proposed aims and activities? |  | 10 |  |
| **TOTAL POINTS** |  | **100** |  |

The Peter White Scholar will:

(1) engage in scholarly research and/or creative activities

(2) prepare one or more works for publication or presentation and

(3) develop applications for **external funding**.