NMU Peter White Scholar Award proposal evaluation form

Members of the review committee will complete the following information for each internal NMU proposal submission:

TENO 4 1	•		
Title	Of	pro	iect:

Principal Investigator:
Discipline/Department:
College:

Eligibility: All full-time faculty who will be teaching during the next academic year (those who have an employment contract such that they would normally teach the year after the application year) are eligible to apply for the award. Faculty who will be on sabbaticals or other leaves, part-time or adjunct faculty, administrative or support staff and students are not eligible. Faculty and staff who believe that a colleague is especially qualified should submit a nomination to the Assistant Provost of Graduate Education and Research at least one month prior to the application deadline. The Assistant Provost will contact the nominated individual to notify them of the nomination.

A faculty member who has received a Peter White Scholar Award is eligible to reapply for the same award after a five-year interim. Questions regarding eligibility should be directed to the Dean of Graduate Studies and Research.

Applicant eligible: $Y \square$ $N \square$

Topic/Detail	Points received	Points possible	Comments	
1. Required Proposal Components—Are all components present?				
Approved by head & dean		2		
Project abstract		2		
 Project narrative (all components addressed) 		3		
References Cited		2		
Budget and justification		2		
• CVs (2 pages)		2		
Letters of Support (if applicable)		1		
• IRB/IACUC (if applicable)		1		
Total Points for Required proposal components		15		

Topic/Detail	Points received	Points possible	Comments
2. Statement of purpose		_	
Is/are the research question/s or creative		8	
project clearly defined with appropriate			
rationale?			
Are the goals clearly stated and the		6	
objectives measurable and achievable?			
Is the scope of the question(s) or project		6	
manageable within the timeframe and context			
of the study?			
Total Points for		20	
Statement of Purpose			
3. Impact/Significance of project			
Does the project suggest a novel approach to		5	
addressing a problem/need?			
Does this project appear to make a		5	
contribution to knowledge in the discipline?			
(Potential for increased access to			
arts/humanities/education, scientific			
understanding or discovery, or potential to			
benefit society)			
Total Points for		10	
Impact/Significance of project			
4. Background to the project			
Is a literature review included that offers		5	
historical context and/or appropriate			
overview of the issue to be explored?			
Does the section provide convincing support		5	
for the purpose of the proposed project?			
Total Points for		10	
Background to the project			
5. Methodology			
Are the project design/argument of the		8	
study, methods of data collection, and			
analysis feasible (given funding and			
timeline) and appropriate to the aims of the			
project?			
Has the appropriate literature been		4	
examined to contribute to the			
understanding of the problem and/or			
conceptual framework for the study?			
Are the proposed methods delineated and the		3	
rationale for the methodology clearly stated			
and supported?			
Total Points for		15	
Methodology			

Topic/Detail	Points received	Points possible	Comments
6. Description of final product			
Does the proposal include adequate		8	
description of anticipated tangible			
deliverable(s) (Publication, artwork,			
dataset, etc.)?			
Requirements below for PWSA.			
Is the proposed project described in such a		7	
way as to suggest deliverable(s) are			
achievable in the stated timeline?			
Total Points for		15	
Description of final product			
7. Personnel			
Qualifications - Does the track record		5	
(background/previous studies) of the			
Investigator(s) indicate they have the			
expertise to conduct this study? (As			
demonstrated in the curriculum			
vitae and the proposal narrative.)			
Total Points for		5	
Personnel			
8. Budget			
Is the budget detailed, reasonable and		10	
justified in relation to the project's proposed			
aims and activities?			
Total Points for Budget		10	
TOTAL POINTS		100	

The Peter White Scholar will:

- (1) engage in scholarly research and/or creative activities
- (2) prepare one or more works for publication or presentation and
- (3) develop applications for **external funding**.